

FRAUNHOFER RESEARCH INSTITUTION FOR ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES IAPT

OVERVIEW OF LPBF IN-PROCESS MONITORING SYSTEMS

AN ADDITIVE ALLIANCE STUDY | 2020

CONTENT

1_MOTIVATION 2_ABOUT FRAUNHOFER IAPT

- **WHAT ARE IN-PROCESS MONITORING SYSTEMS (IPMS) AND WHY ARE THEY INDUSTRIALLY RELEVANT?**
- **WHICH INDUSTRIALLY RELEVANT IPMS ARE AVAILABLE IN THE MARKET?**
- **HOW DO IPMS TECHNOLOGIES COMPARE TO EACH OTHER?**
- **WHICH CRITERIA CAN BE USED TO SELECT AN IPMS?**

Additive Manufacturing (AM) of metals is paving its way to becoming a fully established industrial manufacturing technology. Recent technological advances have made this manufacturing method more affordable and reliable. At present, Additive Manufacturing is used across all industries, from automotive to aerospace and from medical to mechanical engineering.

A challenge that industry is currently facing with regard to additively manufactured parts is to meet the high-quality standards of safety-critical applications, as in the medical or the aerospace industries, for example. Resistance to fatigue failure and repeatable mechanical properties are two key aspects when manufacturing parts for safety-critical applications. Laser Powder Bed Fusion (LPBF) produces high-quality parts. However, the complexity of the layer-by-layer production process means that every part is unique, and individual testing is needed in applications with the highest quality standards. In view of the high process complexity involved, Additive Manufacturing faces challenges to ensure defect-free manufacturing of parts. Expensive and time-consuming methods such as µCT inspection and Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) have been used to ensure the quality of parts produced with LPBF, resulting in additional production time and manufacturing costs. In-Process Monitoring Systems (IPMS) have the potential of reliably detecting process deviations that could cause part defects. This eliminates or minimizes the need for Non-Destructive Testing and HIP, effectively reducing the costs of manufacturing parts.

The aim of this study is to give an overview and to benchmark commercially relevant IPMS for LPBF machines. The systems will be compared on the basis of several technical criteria to give readers a guideline as to which IPMS is most relevant for their application.

INSIGHTS TO BE GAINED ABOUT FRAUNHOFER IAPT

Fraunhofer IAPT is one of the leading research institutes in the field of AM. We specialize in the areas of design, processes and systems.

Our objective is to scale up additive processes and technologies and facilitate their transfer to industry, thereby enabling the manufacture of completely new und resource-efficient products.

We can provide you with customized solutions and help launch you as a competitive player in the field of Additive Manufacturing.

More information can be found here: www.iapt.fraunhofer.de

Published by

The Fraunhofer Research Institution for Additive Manufacturing Technologies IAPT Am Schleusengraben 14 21029 Hamburg Germany

+49 40 484010-500 info@iapt.fraunhofer.de

IAPT

3_ABOUT THE ADDITIVE ALLIANCE

The Additive Alliance is the independent industrial research network for Additive Manufacturing of the Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft. The network was created in 2014 to promote knowledge sharing and has since become established as a relevant institution in AM. Regular network meetings of the more than 30 members encourage the exchange of ideas between all AM stakeholders, allowing them to make a significant contribution to the industrial future through long-term cooperation.

It all began with a small group at the Laser Zentrum Nord, focusing on laser applications. In those days the network was referred to as Light Alliance. Since then, the network and its events have developed steadily. The most significant development was probably the shift away from pure laser material processing to 3D printing and changing the name of Light Alliance to Additive Alliance in 2018, when the LZN became part of the Fraunhofer Gesellschaft. Fraunhofer IAPT has been in existence for more than two years now and is destined for further ongoing development to maintain the high expectations associated with the Fraunhofer brand.

The Additive Alliance has identified two key values of Fraunhofer that we want to represent. We want to provide our members with exclusive knowledge, and explore topics that they consider most relevant. In this regard, we aim to meet the highest standards of objectivity.

As from 2020, we would like to work together with all members to regularly identify topics for detailed research, which will be investigated in studies by the experts at Fraunhofer IAPT. The aforementioned values constitute the basis for preparing the studies. This first edition of our studies presents you with the results of our work. We hope you enjoy reading the first issue and look forward to working with you to determine the topics for the next edition.

Our gratitude goes to our members as follows. It would not have been possible to prepare this study without them.

4_ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Maximilian Vogt, M.Sc. Head of Additive Alliance

Peter Lindecke, M.Eng. Head of Quality Assurance & Certification

Christian Franke, M.Sc. Head of Additive Studies

Juan Miguel Diaz del Castillo, M.Sc. Research Associate at Quality Assurance & Certification

PLEASE DO NOT HESITATE TO CONTACT OUR EXPERTS WITH ANY QUESTIONS

5_APPROACH OF THE STUDY

5.1.1_SENSOR ARRANGEMENT

There are two types of sensor arrangement:

On-axis: The sensor's field of view is aligned with the laser spot; the sensor is mounted in the machine's optical bench and is aligned with the optical axis of the laser beam. The installation is usually achieved by implementing a beam splitter (blue) that transmits the wavelength of the laser and reflects the wavelength detected by the sensor, or vice versa. The figure shows a simplified diagram of the on-axis arrangement, with the radiation measured (orange) by the sensor (S) and the radiation emitted (red) by the laser (L).

Off-axis: The sensor is mounted either inside the building chamber or outside, in which case the process is monitored through an observation window. This sensor arrangement monitors the building platform from a fixed position. The figure shows an off-axis sensor (S) capturing the process emissions.

5.1.2_SENSOR TYPES

Photodiode: Sensor that converts light photons emitted by the process into an electrical signal. Output signal voltage is proportional to light intensity. Photodiodes operate in wavelengths

from 400 to 1700 nm and have high sampling rates.

Visual camera: Digital camera that captures the light emitted by the process in the visual spectrum (380–740 nm) using a sensor and converts it into images. Visual cameras used for process monitoring are generally industrial-grade with an output of grayscale images.

Infrared camera: Digital camera that captures the light emitted by the process in the infrared spectrum (1000–14000 nm) using a sensor array and converts it into images. The output of infrared cameras consists of grayscale images where the pixel values are proportional to the process temperature.

5.1_OVERVIEW OF IN-PROCESS MONITORING SYSTEMS

Quality assurance of safety-critical components made with LPBF involves non-destructive part inspection such as µ-CT scans and in many cases Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP), which add considerable time and costs to the production process. IPMS offer a potential solution to minimize the need for Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) or HIP that could double the costs of producing safety-critical parts with LPBF. IPMS integrate sensors in the LPBF machines to monitor process characteristics such as melt pool emissions or powder bed morphology, providing an insight into process stability as the part is built.

This study provides an overview of several commercially available IPMS, listing their main characteristics to provide a benchmark between them.

The following section describes the general sensor arrangements, the sensor technologies used in the system and the process characteristics monitored during the process, together with a general description of the user interface for displaying process information.

5.1.3_PROCESS CHARACTERISTICS

Melt pool emissions: The melt pool is the area of liquefied metal formed when laser radiation melts the powder material. The melting process emits radiation in the visual and infrared wavelengths of the spectrum that can be used to characterize the process.

Powder bed morphology: A homogeneous powder bed is important for a stable melting process. Powder bed morphology can be characterized by analyzing 2D pictures using computer vision techniques or structured light 3D scanning. Problems can arise with this process characteristic, such as inhomogeneous recoating or protruding parts that could interrupt the LPBF process.

2D layer visualization: Process characteristics are shown as a 2D image of every layer. Process deviations are highlighted within the layer.

Morphology of the solidified layers: The morphology of the solidified layers reveals information about process stability and the geometry of the final part.

5.1.4_USER INTERFACE

Process chart: Process information is shown as a plot of a process characteristic vs. the layer number, part number, or batch number. Process deviations are generally shown as fluctuations from established thresholds.

3D model visualization: Process data is plotted as a 3D model of the manufactured part. Process deviations are plotted in a 3D render.

5.2_APPROACH OF THE TECHNICAL REVIEW OF IN-PROCESS MONITORING SYSTEMS

Each of the IPMS included in this study will be presented and analyzed using the following criteria*:

(1) Company:

Brief description of the system manufacturer.

(2) Name and software version:

Commercial name of the IPMS and software version analyzed in this study.

(3) Key facts:

Statement whether the IPMS is manufacturer-agnostic** or not, current machine installations of the IPMS as retrofit or factory option, and list of materials that have been used to test IPMS functionality.

(4) Unique features:

Features that make the system unique and cannot be assigned to a standard category.

(5) Installation procedure:

Description of the installation procedure for retrofitting the IPMS in an LPBF machine.

(6) Setup procedure:

Description of the steps required to set up the IPMS for each application.

(7) System architecture:

Explanation of the sensors used by the system and their position in the machine, information about multi-laser support and external equipment included in the system.

(8) User interface:

Description of how the LPBF process information is visualized and communicated to the user.

(9) Data output:

Specifications of the resolution and format of the raw data, processed information and information about automatic anomaly detection.

(10) Published use case or case study

Publication containing information about the IPMS and its capabilities. Section 8 (Further Reading) contains additional titles with more information about the IPMS.

** It is not possible to guarantee that the information listed under the above categories is complete, due to non-disclosure agreements between the IPMS manufacturers and their customers.*

*** Manufacturer-agnostic refers to the capacity of an IPMS to be installed in machines from different manufacturers.*

6_TECHNICAL REVIEW OF IN-PROCESS MONITORING **SYSTEMS**

This section describes each of the IPMS included in the study. The information about each system has been compiled in a standardized format to make the technical descriptions comparable. Careful consideration has been given to the Unique Features section as it highlights the unique attributes of each IPMS.

In the scope of this work, the IPMS of the following manufacturers were reviewed:

AD SYSTEMS 6.1_3D SYSTEMS

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

2D layer visualization of the exposed surface and the meltpool monitoring data

notodiodes outside

avelength camera

he photodiode gains. Vison settings.

ted in the machine whert software

ere anomalies are disted with DMP Meltpool Data analysis from DMP ighted, but not classified. a y of process energy and

process information process anomalies in

efore and after laser

ver showing the $\tilde{\nu}$ 20µs, spatial resolution

visualization ✓

on Porosity in Selective Laser Melting Based on Melt Pool Monitoring Data. *Additive Manufac-*

UNIQUE FEATURES

• DMP Inspection within the 3DXpert software visualizes data from DMP Vison and DMP Meltpool. The underlying algorithms of DMP Inspection detect quality issues in the build.

- DMP Meltpool measures process emissions as a function of time.
- Direct, real-time comparison between the photographs of the build plate taken by DMP Vision and the melt pool emissions data from DMP Meltpool.

COMPANY

3D Systems is a manufacturer of SLA and LPBF machines. The company was founded in 1986; it is based in South Carolina, USA.

DMP Monitoring: version 1.2.6.1785 **3DXpert (inspection module):** version15 SP2P3

CTERISTICS

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

the customers who per-

talled by Additive Assurthis is offline installation. e specific application.

ase, no calibration of the

for a material data are existed to a retrial and the set of the set o ures can be unlocked by stem algorithms.

near-infrared spectrum n system, accessible by

ere required

emissions

out and ensures that

n of process anomalies

to 40 µm features

Manufacturing. White

6.2_ADDITIVE ASSURANCE

False color melt pool data

2D layer visualization showing individual laser tracks and fusion defects in high resolution

COMPANY

Additive Assurance is an independent manufacturer of IPMS for LPBF machines. The company is a spinoff of the Monash University in Melbourne, Australia; it has been operating independently since 2019. The process monitoring system is installed on several machine types.

AMiRIS: version 0.6.1

UNIQUE FEATURES

- Non-invasive installation, no modification necessary to the optical bench or build chamber of the machine, hardware kit is installed outside the door of the process chamber.
- Uses machine learning algorithms to analyze melt pool emissions and morphology.
- Minimum setup and installation time. The material database is constantly updated and other common materials such as aluminum alloys will be added in early 2021.

2D layer visualization showing melt pool intensity

e#s

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

6.3_EOS

EOSTATE Exposure OT 2D layer visualization

COMPANY

EOS is a manufacturer of LPBF and SLS machines. The company was founded in 1989 and is based in Krailling, Germany. EOSTATE monitoring suite includes the condition monitoring module EOSTATE Base and three independent modules for process monitoring: EOSTATE Exposure OT, EOSTATE Meltpool and EOSTATE PowderBed.

EOSTATE Exposure OT: version 1.5 **EOSTATE Meltpool:** version 2.2 **EOSTATE PowderBed:** version 1.5

3D model

 $\frac{3D \text{ model}}{\text{Visualization}}$ χ

KEY FACTS • EOSTATE PowderBed available for: EOS M 290, EOS M 400-4, EOS M 400, EOS M 300-4 • EOSTATE Exposure OT available for: EOS M 290, EOS M 400-4, **BI:** Machines EOS M 300-4 • EOSTATE Meltpool Monitoring available for: EOS M 290, EOS M 400-4, EOS M 300-4 (in development)* • Ti6Al4V • SSMP1 • AlSi10Mg • SSCX • AlF357 • Cu $• 1.2709$ • CoCr • CHSteel • MS1 Tested 20MncrZr • NickelAlloyHX materials • SS17-4PH • Inconel IN625 **These are commercially* • SS316L • Inconel IN718 *available products; customized* • SS316LVPro • Inconel IN939 • SSPH1 *solutions might be available* • SSGP1 *for other EOS machines.*

UNIQUE FEATURES

- EOSTATE Exposure OT is a combined hardware and software solution that monitors process conditions in the entire build plate without information loss.
- EOSTATE Exposure OT characterizes the process by measuring the heat emissions and integrating them in the time domain.
- EOSTATE Exposure OT can be calibrated using a special EOS tool.

Diagram showing the sensor arrangement of EOSTATE's process monitoring systems

e and software solution.

- an off-axis position. uired.
- nd software module. The on-axis position. Modi- $\overline{}$ for installation in EOS
- extalled in the machine. icense. No modification

rection ues between different

- sual wavelength camera isting of off-axis infrared $\frac{1}{2}$ camera and the EOS M 400-4 net is only required for
- ng of on-axis photodiode $\frac{1}{2}$ he EOS M 400-4 and EOS m 300-4 required for installa-
- ized along the workflow. are visualized as a 2D intensity malized as a 2D graph Its are summarized in a ic categorization.
- iations per layer as .csv file categorization as .csv file
- log bei der Additiven entation at the Sympoung, Berlin, November

GE Additive **6.4_GE ADDITIVE**

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

COMPANY

GE Additive – part of GE – is a world leader in Additive Design and Manufacturing and includes additive machine providers Concept Laser and Arcam EBM, along with additive material provider AP&C. GE Additive added LPBF to their portfolio in 2016 on acquiring Concept Laser, which is based in Lichtenfels, Germany.

The company's development of quality assurance systems started in 2010 with the launch of QM Meltpool, followedby QM Coating in 2011 and QM Meltpool 3D in 2015. Their latest system MSPC (Meltpool Statistical Process Control) will be released in 2020.

GE Additive MSPC: version 1.0

SENSOR ARRANGEMENT $\sqrt{\frac{s}{n}}$ On-axis \bigcup \circledcirc Off-axis \bigvee **SENSOR TYPES** \bigcirc Photodiode $\sqrt{ }$ Visual wavelength √
camera Infrared camera $\mathbf X$ **PROCESS CHARACTERISTICS** Melt pool $\frac{1}{2}$ emissions $\sqrt{2}$ Powder bed Powder bed \bigvee Morphology of the \bigvee **USER INTERFACE** Process chart $\sqrt{ }$ 2D layer visualization ✓

3D model visualization ✓ **FURTHER FACTS**

............

ŧ

Ō.

aser Beam Melting of Metals: Assistance for Material Qualification for the Stainless Steel

case study

1.4057. *Procedia CIRP*, 74, pp. 116–121.

UNIQUE FEATURES

- MSPC builds on QM Meltpool3D, adding automated anomaly detection capabilities to melt pool monitoring.
- QM Coating uses computer vision to detect recoater errors and can be configured to trigger recoating events or pause the print job.

QM Meltpool 3D part visualization

al system ss chamber trical cabinet:

oning

re machine "fingerprint"

d one on-axis high speed

visual camera ntegrated in the LPBF

ver and per-part base. dusters is d as 2D visualization **D** visualization including

of laser, metadata for

to 35 µm/pixel ction (currently tested

tion using thresholds. ased on a physical model $\mathsf{esolution}\colon \dot{300}$ µm

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

6.5_OPEN ADDITIVE

AMSENSE TOMOTHERM highlighting a delamination defect (2D visualization) *AMSENSE user interface showing SPAT-TRAK module*

COMPANY

Open Additive is an independent manufacturer of open platform LPBF machines and IPMS. The company was established in 2019 and is a spinoff of a defense-oriented company with over sixty years of experience in research and engineering. Open Additive is based in Ohio, USA.

AMSENSE: version 1.0.4.4

SENSOR ARRANGEMENT

 $\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\sqrt{3}$

On-axis \boldsymbol{X}

p of the Effect of Scan Strategy on Microstructure in Additive Manufacturing. *Metall Mater*

case study

UNIQUE FEATURES

- AMSENSE has specially designed hardware and software to monitor spattering.
- AMSENSE is designed as an open platform allowing the user to add custom plugins to expand system functionality.
- The system allows the customer to install additional sensors.

AMSENSE user interface showing statistical module

ersonnel on the

 $\overline{}$ machine depending on

hamber.

ning a configuration

he powder bed before

e near-infrared spec-PAT-TRAK) and another

log input board supportameras

raphy data related to

during the process and anomaly statistics

orted in an open

data;

ool anomalies, size, and

KEY FACTS

BESPE

Machines

• Manufacturer-agnostic

PANDA-11"

Tested materials

• Ti6Al4V • AlSi10Mg • F357 • SS316L

• CoCr • IN718

RENISHAW⁵

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

6.6_RENISHAW

3D model visualization of InfiniAM MeltVIEW *2D layer view of InfiniAM MeltVIEW*

ndard equipment.

e was provided by the

allation is recommended

mera mounted outside

s mounted in an

ardware: process graphs, uild job based on melt

-specific data file with timestangleright sensor in the build and sensor $\dot{}$ he powder bed before

AM MeltVIEW and Infinity combined process data as α images: 40–200 µm

cturer.

COMPANY

Renishaw is a multinational engineering firm manufacturing high-precision metrology devices, healthcare technology and LPBF machines. Renishaw was established in 1973 and is based in Wotton-under-Edge, United Kingdom.

InfiniAM Spectral InfiniAM MeltVIEW InfiniAM Visual

Note:

No information about the latest version of the systems was provided by the manufacturer.

UNIQUE FEATURES

- The InfiniAM Central remote process monitoring software enables simultaneous live monitoring of several LPBF machines.
- The InfiniAM Spectral software can be used to view the data from the build chamber camera and the MeltVIEW hardware as 2D images or 3D renders.

SENSOR ARRANGEMENT

SIGMA LABS **6.7_SIGMA LABS**

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

 PrintRite3D print job visualization *PrintRite3D 2D layer view*

LPBF machine's optical bench and prection. ines and run by a central

and perform distortion

low of each material and thresholds by printing

o four lasers) data processing server

hterface. ent quality metrics for

9 process anomalies

and photodiode voltages erived from the raw data per pixel

Pool Monitoring Sensors dvanced Manufacturing

UNIQUE FEATURES

- The PrintRite3D system is offered as factory option in the Additive Industries MetalFab1 machine.
- The PrintRite3D system has been integrated with the Materialise Control Platform (MCP).
- Data is reduced by condensing the raw data into four quality metrics, including black body temperature measurement. The metrics are used in combination for detecting process anomalies, enabling correlation to µCT analysis based on machine learning.

COMPANY

Sigma Labs, Inc. is a leading provider of third-party quality assurance software to the Additive Manufacturing industry. It specializes in the development and commercialization of real-time monitoring solutions known as PrintRite3D for 3D metal printers.

The company was founded in 2010; it is based in New Mexico, USA. Sigma Labs has performed installations in North America, Europe, and Asia and is working with multiple OEMs to certify their machines as "PrintRite3D ready".

PrintRite3D: version 6.0

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

6.8_SLM SOLUTIONS

MPM 2D layer visualization showing the thermal emissions of individual scan vectors

COMPANY

SLM Solutions is a manufacturer of LPBF machines. The company is based in Lübeck, Germany. Although it started pursuing research into LPBF technology in 1990, it was formally founded in 2011. There are two in-process monitoring modules in the ADDITIVE.QUALITY Solution by SLM Solutions: Melt Pool Monitoring and Layer Control System.

Melt Pool Monitoring (MPM): version 4.47 Layer Control System (LCS): version 3.0.23 **SENSOR ARRANGEMENT**

FURTHER FACTS

. \overline{r}

........

.

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\hline\n\hline\n\hline\n\hline\n\end{array}$

- Uses the machine's build job data to plot thermal emissions for each individual scan vector.
- Real-time 2D layer visualization and process chart displays showing the intensity of thermal emissions in the process.
- Configurable data acquisition rate
- Material-independent

MPM process chart

Solutions machines.

on: 8 days for single laser i days for quad laser ma-

adjusted using a calibra-

rotodiode gains for align<mark>-</mark>
etup.

des for each laser era for SLM125 and LM500 and SLM800

computer.

, individual parts and indi-

 $\frac{1}{2}$ the individual scan vector

y format, LCS produces ightnation of \sim 250 µm. 16-bit values indicating s an indication of process Iution depends on scan \circ the scan direction, pixel

ering and the Correlation ssurance in Selective Laser Melting. In *International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium* .
exas, USA

KEY FACTS

E3:0

Machin

Tested

MPM 2D layer visualization of thermal emissions

TRUMPF

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

6.9_TRUMPF

TruPrint 2D layer visualization highlighting process anomalies

COMPANY

TRUMPF is a company that produces lasers together with machinery and tooling solutions. It was founded in 1923 and is based in Ditzingen, Germany. TRUMPF launched its first laser metal fusion Additive Manufacturing machines in 2003.

With almost two decades of experience in additive technology, TRUMPF provides complete packages for powder bed processes that are fit for purpose in industrial applications, consisting of machines, services and digitization, all from a single source.

Monitoring version: version 06/2020

SENSOR ARRANGEMENT

 $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \mathbf{s} \\ \hline \mathbf{a} \end{array}$

On-axis \bigvee

UNIQUE FEATURES

- The Melt Pool Monitoring module offers live process monitoring and process deviation alerts.
- The Powder Bed Monitoring tool is used for live image analysis and recoater feedback.

Process chart shown in the Monitoring TruPrint user interface

toring available as

nachine standard in all TruPrint

equires print jobs to detection thresholds. ging setup.

des per laser ted in the machine

human machine

esults. ication for monitoring

• 22
vol Monitoring and

ert of Melt Pool Monitornonitoring data based on

transmitted by OPC UA

VELO^{3D} 6.10_VELO3D

6 _ T E C H N I C A L R E V I E W O F I N - P R O C E S S MONITORING SYSTEMS

Powder bed \bigvee Morphology of the Morphology of the \bigvee **USER INTERFACE**

Process chart \bigcup 2D layer zD layer
visualization √ 3D model

UNIQUE FEATURES

- Defect detection including probability of porosity and deterministic sensing of surface defects
- Assure features a structured light system that measures powder and solidified layer morphologies in three dimensions.
- One-click sensor calibration, no external instrumentation required
- The system sensors provide feedback for the machine's closed-loop melt pool process control system, a capability with optional activation by the user.

Velo3D build heat map (top) and peak height chart (bottom)

tegral part of the as an add-on.

ing process monitoring equipment is required

the topography of the

f the machine software. he powder bed and the ν metrics for every layer, urface defects (computthe geometry of the

is not encrypted and

and their defectivity ion of the 2D layer e ight map in z: 15 µm ectivity data

Performs Field

powder bed before recoating

COMPANY

Velo3D is a company manufacturing LPBF machines. The machines use a combination of simulation, in-process monitoring and closed-loop process control to reduce the constraints of the LPBF process. The company was founded in 2014; it is based in California, USA. Velo3D launched its first product in 2018.

Assure: version 1.2

SENSOR ARRANGEMENT

On-axis \bigvee

Off-axis \bigvee

Photodiode $\sqrt{}$

Visual wavelength √
camera

Infrared camera **√**

 \blacksquare

Melt pool

Powder bed

visualization ✓

6.11_TECHNICAL REVIEW AT A GLANCE

**Due to the inherent differences between the IPMS, it was not possible to rate the systems or their characteristics within the scope of this study. Although all IPMS are material-independent, the table only features information about tested and validated materials as disclosed by the manufacturers.*

✓***** *Available with additional analysis software* (✓) *In development*

✗ *Not available*

? *Information not provided*

7_CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The development of In-Process Monitoring Systems started when Laser Powder Bed Fusion became established as a manufacturing technology for producing safety-critical parts. IPMS offer an opportunity to reduce the combined use of µCT scans and Hot Isostatic Pressing for quality assurance of safety-critical components. They even open up the possibility of controlling the LPBF process with closed-loop control systems. The demand for IPMS has increased with the number of applications for LPBF technology, and several firms including machine manufacturers and independent companies have taken on the task of developing a variety of in-process monitoring solutions in order to meet market demands.

One of the challenges for successful IPMS implementation is to develop an understanding for the relationship between system output and part defects. In order to meet these challenges, IPMS manufacturers have developed sophisticated solutions to detect deviations during the LPBF process that could lead to defects in the final component. Establishing the signal-to-defect correlation is key for correct application of an IPMS. Extensive validation and fine-tuning of the IPMS settings is often necessary in order to optimize system sensitivity for each application.

Usability has also been a prime focus in IPMS development. Early systems were complicated to use and demanded a considerable amount of post-processing effort for interpreting the data. Modern systems implement sophisticated data processing and visualization techniques so that users can take quick data-based decisions on whether a part should go through further checks or needs to be post-processed. Some of the latest systems implement machine learning algorithms for automatic detection of problematic process deviations; however, this often necessitates the use of extensive validation programs.

Quality standards together with machine and process qualification requirements are an additional challenge for widespread implementation of IPMS. At present, there are no quality standards stating which sensors or data processing techniques should be used to monitor the LPBF process. Furthermore, retrofitting IPMS to LPBF machines qualified for safety-critical applications would in many cases require resource-intensive and time-consuming effort, which is why some industries are still skeptical about exploring the benefits of IPMS.

Some LPBF machine manufacturers and independent companies are looking at the possibility of using IPMS output for closed-loop process control. This approach is largely in the research stage, but has been successfully implemented by some companies. However, process qualification still remains a hurdle for implementing this novel approach in the production of safety-critical components.

Although IPMS have developed considerably in the past ten years, further experimentation and validation activities will still be necessary before a solution satisfies the requirements of all industries involved in the production of safety-critical LPBF parts. At the moment, there is still no dominant design for an IPMS in the market; nonetheless, multiple solutions are available that are suitable for specific applications. The benefits of IPMS have already been proven in several individual cases and deserve thorough consideration when it comes to reducing the production costs of safety-critical LPBF parts.

8_FURTHER READING 9_IMPRINT

Alberts et al. (2020). Neural Networks for Modeling an In-Situ Melt Pool Monitoring System for Selective Laser Melting. In *Fraunhofer Direct Digital Manufacturing Conference (DDMC)*, Virtual Conference, June 23, 2020.

Betts & Engineer, R. D. P. (2017). Evaluation of Quality Signatures™ Using In-Situ Process Control during Additive Manufacturing with Aluminum Alloy AlSi10Mg. *Sigma Labs, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA*, report no. BY6-2018-003.

Bisht et al. (2018). Correlation of Selective Laser Melting Melt Pool Events with the Tensile Properties of Ti-6Al-4V ELI Processed by Laser Powder Bed Fusion. *Additive Manufacturing, 22*, pp. 302–306.

Evans et al. (2020). Modeling and Monitoring of the Effect of Scan Strategy on Micro-Structure in Additive Manufacturing. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, 51*, pp. 4123–4129.

Frye et al. (2020). PrintRite3D Machine Learning Case Study Models and IPQMP Metric. *Sigma Labs, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA.*

Kolb et al. (2018). Melt Pool Monitoring for Laser Beam Melting of Metals: Inline-Evaluation and Remelting of Surfaces. *Procedia CIRP, 74*, pp. 111–115.

> M.Eng. Peter Lindecke Head of Quality Assurance & Certification Telephone +49-40-484-010-728 additive.studies@iapt.fraunhofer.de

Megahed et al. (2019). LPBF Right the First Time – the Right Mix Between Modeling and Experiments. *Integrating Materials and Manufacturing Innovation, 8(2)*, pp. 194–216.

Ray et al. (2018). DMP Monitoring as a Process Optimization Tool for Direct Metal Printing (DMP) of Ti-6Al-4V. In *International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium* (pp. 2244-2253), August 13–15, 2018, Austin, Texas, USA.

Velo3D (2018). Intelligent Fusion. *Executive Summary*.

Zenzinger et al. (2015). Process Monitoring Process monitoring of Additive Manufacturing by Using Optical Tomography. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (vol. 1650, no. 1, pp. 164–170). American Institute of Physics.

Imprint

Fraunhofer Research Institution for Additive Manufacturing Technologies IAPT

> Am Schleusengraben 14 21029 Hamburg-Bergedorf Germany Telephone +49-40-484-010-500 Fax +49-40-484-010-999 www.iapt.fraunhofer.de info@iapt.fraunhofer.de

A legally dependent entity of Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der angewandten Forschung e.V. Hansastrasse 27c 80686 Munich Germany www.fraunhofer.de info@zv.fraunhofer.de

Contact

The Fraunhofer IAPT does not warrant that the information in this study is correct. No statement in this study shall be considered as an assured prediction. The reader should not make any decision based exclusively on the information presented.

Photo credits: cover, p. 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 39, 41 Fraunhofer IAPT; pp. 18–37: The rights are held by the respective machine manufacturer and/or IPMS provider.

